UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,072	05/12/2008	Haishan Zeng	B537 0018/GNM	1494	
	7590		EXAMINER		
480 - THE STA	ATION	CHENG, JACQUELINE			
601 WEST CORDOVA STREET VANCOUVER, BC V6B 1G1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CANADA			3777		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/23/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mail@patentable.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummons	10/596,072	ZENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JACQUELINE CHENG	3777				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M.	av 2006.					
,	action is non-final.					
,—	, —					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
· ·	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,15-21,23-30,32-35,40,41 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,15-21,23-30,32-35,40,41 and</u>	vn from consideration.		ement.			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National (Stage			
Attachment(s)	,, 	(DTO 445)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) Mail Data	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3777

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
 - a. Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 4-12, 15-21, 23-30, 32-35, and 40, drawn to a method for characterizing tissue.
 - b. Group II, claim(s) 41, drawn to a method for determining a melanin content.
 - c. Group III, claim(s) 44, 45, drawn to an apparatus for characterizing tissue.
- 4. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I uses background fluorescence spectrum while group III uses background autofluorescence spectrum to characterize tissue and Group III uses a classification function and states if a tissue is likely to be abnormal whereas Group I does not use a classification function nor states if a tissue is likely to be abnormal. Group II is directed towards determining a melanin content of tissue while Groups I and III are directed towards characterizing tissues.

Art Unit: 3777

5. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Claims 6, 7, 8, 9, 32, and 33

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species (one of the claims listed) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: 5

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

6. As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features

Art Unit: 3777

that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

7. The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

- 8. As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
 - (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.
- 9. Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Application/Control Number: 10/596,072

Art Unit: 3777

10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an

Page 5

election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed

(37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

11. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election

shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of

election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in

the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant

must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

12. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37)

CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit

evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants

or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is

provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art,

the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other

invention.

13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/596,072

Art Unit: 3777

Page 6

14. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

- 15. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.
- 16. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JACQUELINE CHENG whose telephone number is (571)272-5596. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

Art Unit: 3777

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Chen can be reached on 571-272-3672. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jacqueline Cheng/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3777